(36)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 1547 of 2007

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Petitioner : Smt. Meena W/o Subhash, aged about 27 years, Caste Uraon, R/o Mahadev Para, Gram Panchayat Ghorgadi, Janpad Panchayat Rajpur, District Surguja C.G.

Versus

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary Women and Child Development Department, DKS Bhawan Raipur, (C.G.)

2. The Collector, Surguja, Dist. Surguja, Ambikapur C.G.

3. District Programme Officer, Integrated Woman and Child Development Department, Ambikapur, Dist. Surguja (C.G.)

4. Project Officer, Integrated Woman and Child Development Department, Rajpur, District Surguja (C.G.)

5. Janapad Panchayat Rajpur, Through Chief Executive Officer, Janapad Panchayat Rajpur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)

6. Gram Panchayat Ghorgadi, through the Secretary, Gram Panchayat Ghorghadi, Janapad Panchayat Lundra, District Surguja (C.G.)

7. Smt. Kaushalya W/o Jagmohan, R/o village Jarhadih (Dedhoulipara) Tahsil Lundra, District Surguja (C.G.)

Present: Mr. Rajesh Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State/ respondent No. 1 to 4.

(37)

ORDER

(Passed on 16th Day of March, 2007)

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by her non appointment on the post of Angan Badi Worker in village Panchayat Ghorgadi, Janpad Panchayat Rajpur District-Surguja. According to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the person's resident of the same village living of the Gram away from the place where the Angan Badi Center is located, has been appointed. According to him, the said appointment is contrary to Angan Badi Scheme.

2. On query, asto where it is provided that the Angan Badi Worker living closer to Angan Badi Center should be given preference, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner is not in a position to point any specific provisions except that preference should be given to those candidates for appointment on the post of Angan Badi Worker, who is resident of the said village.

2. Be that as it may, the Collector, Sarguja (Ambikapur) is directed to examine the allegation made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with Aanganbadi Scheme and law.

3. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge